Application No.: 10/599314 Case No.: 59598US005

## **Amendment to the Specification:**

Please replace the paragraphs beginning at p. 24, line 12 with the following replacement paragraphs:

## Example 3

The procedure in Test Example 1 was repeated but in this example, for the purpose of comparison, a composite film of epoxy resin [[glass]], commercially available from Arisawa Mfg. Co., Ltd. was used in place of PP as the test composite film (reinforced polymeric film) and the size of the test composite film was changed to 300 mm (length)  $\times$  300 mm (width)  $\times$  0.25 mm (thickness).

Please replace the paragraphs beginning at p. 24, line 3 with the following replacement paragraphs:

(Note that "Example 5" was amended to "Comparative Example 5")

## Comparative Example 5

The procedure in Test Example 2 was repeated but in this example, for the purpose of comparison, a 188  $\mu$ m-thick polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film was used as the support in place of the reinforced PP in the production of the flexible mold. The size of the PET film was 300 mm (length)  $\times$  300 mm (width)  $\times$  0.2 mm (thickness).

The dimensional change of the flexible mold was measured according to the method described in Test Example 2, as a result, this flexible mold exhibited a hydroscopic swelling coefficient of about 8 ppm/% RH and revealed to undergo a significant dimensional change against the change of 30% RH of relative humidity. The PET film used as the support also exhibited a hydroscopic swelling coefficient of about 8 ppm/% RH.